

**Evaluation Summary of
Acetic acid
for Use as a Cigarette Ingredient**

September 2005

INTRODUCTION

Acetic acid (CAS # 64-19-7) is currently used worldwide at levels below **100 ppm** in selected cigarette brands manufactured and/or distributed by Philip Morris International. Acetic acid is applied directly to the tobacco as a flavoring material. As such, acetic acid may be subject to pyrolysis-type reactions when smoked. Acetic acid may also be applied to the filter as a flavoring material where it would not be subjected to pyrolysis temperatures. This document summarises our internal studies and current published toxicology information on acetic acid abstracted from online toxicity databases.

TOXICITY DATA ON UN-BURNED MATERIAL

Overview

Acetic acid is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (21 CFR § 184.1005) and the Flavor and Extracts Manufacturers Association (FEMA No. 2006) as a generally recognized as safe (GRAS) substance for direct addition to food as a flavoring agent¹. It is also approved for use as a food flavoring agent by the Council of Europe (CoE No.2).² Acetic acid and acetate occur ubiquitously and are a normal metabolic intermediate in plants and animal tissues.^{3,4} Vinegar (3 – 6% acetic acid) is commonly used in salad dressings, mayonnaise, pickled fruits and vegetables, and in many sauces or ketchups.⁵ Acetate salts are frequently added to dialysis and intravenous solutions.⁶

Health hazard data

The acute oral LD₅₀ of acetic acid in rodents is in the range of 2,500-4,960 mg/kg,⁷⁻⁹ whereas the inhaled LD₅₀ (1 hr) to mice is 5,620 ppm.¹⁰ Some short-term toxicity studies involving rats fed acetic acid (0.5 – 10%) or acetate resulted in reduced weight gain, although these results were not consistent among researchers.^{7,11-13} In general, reduced weight gain appeared to be dose-dependent, but may also be due to a decreased palatability of the animal feed with increasing concentrations. The mean acute lethal dose of acetic acid in man has been estimated as 20 ml of pure compound.¹⁴

The oral administration of 4-10% acetic acid solutions to fasted rats or mice is frequently used in experimental animal models of upper gastrointestinal ulcerations.¹⁵⁻²⁰ The mechanism of this toxicity is thought to involve nonspecific, acid-induced injury to the mucosa that is followed by an acute inflammatory response.

Acetic acid is considered to be a non-irritant, or have slight irritant effects in animal dermal studies at concentrations up to 10%.²¹⁻²⁵ At higher concentrations acetic acid can be severely irritating and corrosive. Ocular irritation studies revealed dose and exposure time dependent corneal damage following the application of 2-10% acetic acid.²⁶⁻³⁰ Clinical studies with human volunteers corroborated the results of animal dermal studies and found acetic acid to be a slight dermal irritant at low concentration.^{22,23,31} Despite the induction of gastrointestinal ulcers in animals administered acetic acid, one epidemiological study found vinegar consumption to be negatively correlated to mortalities from cancers of the esophagus, stomach, colon, biliary passage and pancreas.³² However, apple vinegar consumption was strongly correlated to dental erosion.³³

Acetic acid *per se* is not carcinogenic and could even protect from chemical carcinogens. In animal models of two stage carcinogenicity studies, acetic acid was found to be a weak promoter of tumor formation.³⁴⁻³⁶ The weak promoter effect was related to the induction of an inflammatory response and subsequent hyperplasia of exposed tissue.

In vitro studies involving 9 day-old mouse somite stage embryos revealed concentration-dependent increases in malformations when incubated with 3-10 mM acetic acid.³⁷ However, the oral administration of 1,000 mg/kg/day to pregnant mice resulted in no adverse maternal or neonatal effects.³⁸

Ames/Salmonella reverse mutation testing revealed no genotoxic effects of acetic acid.³⁹⁻⁴³ Genotoxic effects of acetic acid to cultured mammalian cells were correlated with decreased pH of the culture system and subsequent compromised viability of the cells.^{39,44-47}

One epidemiology study and several case reports revealed the irritancy of acute or chronic exposure to acetic acid vapors to the respiratory tract.^{10,48,49} In inhalation studies, acute exposure to high levels of acetic acid vapor can potentially lead to reactive airways dysfunction syndrome,⁴⁹ whereas chronic exposure to lower levels (approx. 60 ppm in air) induced upper respiratory tract congestion, edema, bronchitis and asthma-like symptoms.⁵⁰

TOXICITY DATA ON BURNT MATERIAL

Combustion studies

As suggested by purge and trap studies conducted by PM USA, acetic acid would be expected to extensively distill at 100°C in front of the burning cone.⁵¹ At the higher temperatures used in the pyrolysis studies conducted by PM USA, the results indicated that if there were to be remaining acetic acid, it would transfer virtually intact.⁵²

Philip Morris ingredient testing program

Acetic acid was part of a PM USA testing program that was designed to evaluate the potential effects of 333 ingredients added to typical commercial blended test cigarettes on selected biological and chemical endpoints.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Three pairs of test cigarettes were produced, each containing different groups of ingredients. Acetic acid was added to two pairs at target levels of 28 ppm, 62 ppm, 80 ppm and 186 ppm. No significant effects were noted in cytotoxicity, mutagenic studies or in respiratory tract endpoints in 90-day rat inhalation studies. In addition, smoke chemistry studies from cigarettes containing a mixture of flavors including acetic acid did not significantly alter the smoke chemistry profile compared to control cigarettes. Based on the results of these studies, the authors concluded that these ingredients (including acetic acid) added to tobacco do not add significantly to the overall toxicity of cigarettes.

CONCLUSION

Cigarette smoking causes lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other serious diseases in smokers. Smokers are far more likely to develop serious diseases, like lung cancer, than non-smokers. There is no "safe" cigarette. Government health warnings about smoking apply to all cigarettes, regardless of the ingredients added, including those containing only tobacco and paper.

Currently, information is only available for tests utilizing acetic acid in a mixture of ingredients applied to cigarette tobacco. Studies are ongoing to address the use of acetic acid as a single ingredient. Published studies show there is no meaningful difference in the composition or toxicity of smoke from cigarettes with added ingredients (including acetic acid) compared to the smoke from cigarettes without added

ingredients.⁵³⁻⁶¹ It is our scientific judgement, based on the best available data, that acetic acid used in our cigarettes does not increase the overall toxicity of cigarette smoke.

References

1. Hall, R. L. and Oser, B. L. (1965) Recent Progress in the Consideration of Flavoring Ingredients Under the Food Additives Amendment. III GRAS Substances. *Food Technology* 19:151-197.
2. CoE (2000) *Chemically-Defined Flavouring Substances*. Council of Europe Publishing, F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex, France. p.181.
3. Nelson, D. L. and Cox, M. M. (2000) *Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry*. Third Edition Edition. Worth Publishers, New York.
4. Cummings, J. H. and Macfarlane, G. T. (1997) Role of intestinal bacteria in nutrient metabolism. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 21:357-365.
5. Burdock, G. A. (2002) *Fenaroli's Handbook of Flavor Ingredients*. 4th Edition Edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. p.7-9.
6. Veech, R. L. and Gitomer, W. L. (1988) The medical and metabolic consequences of administration of sodium acetate. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 27:313-343.
7. Wysokinska, Z. (1952) Porównanie działania kwasu mlekowego i octowego na organizm szczura. *Roczniki Państwowego Zakładu Hig.* 3:273-292.
8. Woodard, G.; Lange, S. W.; Nelson, K. W. and Calvery, H. O. (1941) The acute oral toxicity of acetic, chloroacetic, dichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acids. *J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol.* 23:78-82.
9. Smyth, H. F.; Carpenter, C. P. and Weil, C. S. (1951) Range-finding toxicity data: list IV. *Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med.* 4:119-122.
10. Ghiringhelli, L. and DiGabio, A. (1957) Pathology due to acetic acid: observations on experimental animals and man. *Medna Lav* 48:559-565.
11. Solmann, T. (1921) Studies of chronic intoxications on albino rats. III. Acetic and formic acids. *J. Pharmac. Exp. Ther.* 16:463-474.
12. Dryden, L. P. and Hartman, A. M. (1971) Effect of vitamin B12 on the metabolism in the rat of volatile fatty acids. *J. Nutr.* 101:589-592.
13. Kondo, S.; Tayama, K.; Tsukamoto, Y.; Ikeda, K. and Yamori, Y. (2001) Antihypertensive effects of acetic acid and vinegar on spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 65:2690-2694.
14. Arena, J. M. (1970) *Poisoning: Toxicology - Symptoms - Treatments*. Second edition Edition. Charles C Thomas Publisher, Springfield, Illinois.
15. Tsuji, H.; Fuse, Y.; Kawamoto, K.; Fujino, H. and Kodama, T. (1989) Healing process of experimental esophageal ulcers induced by acetic acid in rats. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 162:6-10.
16. van Doorn, N. E.; van Rees, E. P.; Namavar, F. and de Graaff, J. (1998) Local cellular immune response in the acute phase of gastritis in mice induced chemically and by *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Med Microbiol.* 47:863.

17. Shimizu, M.; Nakama, A.; Yamano, t.; Noda, T.; Fujita, T.; Kiroda, K.; Yamada, A. and Morita, S. (1992) Role of gastric glutathione in smoke flavouring-induced gastric injury in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 30:1005-1009.
18. Mori, K. (1952) The production of gastric lesions in the rat by acetic acid feeding. *Gann* 43:443-448.
19. Fabia, R.; Willen, R.; Ar'Rajab, A.; Andersson, R.; Ahren, B. and Bengmark, S. (1992) Acetic acid-induced colitis in the rat: a reproducible experimental model for acute ulcerative colitis. *Eur Surg Res* 24:211-225.
20. Yamada, Y.; Marshall, S.; Specian, R. D. and Grisham, M. B. (1992) A comparative analysis of two models of colitis in rats. *Gastroenterology* 102:1524-1534.
21. Roudabush, R. L.; Terehaar, C. J.; Fassett, D. W. and Dziuba, S. P. (1965) Comparative acute effects of some chemicals on the skin of rabbits and guinea pigs. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 7:559-565.
22. Nixon, G. A.; Bannan, E. A.; Gaynor, T. W.; Johnston, D. H. and Griffith, J. F. (1990) Evaluation of modified methods for determining skin irritation. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 12:127-136.
23. Nixon, G. A.; Tyson, C. A. and Wertz, W. C. (1975) Interspecies comparisons of skin irritancy. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 31:481-490.
24. Vernot, E. H.; MacEwen, J. D.; Haun, C. C. and Kinkead, E. R. (1977) Acute toxicity and skin corrosion data for some organic and inorganic compounds and aqueous solutions. *Toxic. Appl. Pharmac.* 42:417-423.
25. Butcher, E. O. (1951) The effects of applications of various substances on the epidermis of the rat. *J. Invest. Derm.* 16:85-90.
26. Harley, R. D. (1952) An experimental study on the evaluation of hydrosulphosol in the treatment of ocular injuries due to chemical burns. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 35:1653-1675.
27. Murphy, J. C.; Osterberg, R. E.; Seabaugh, V. M. and Bierbower, G. W. (1982) Ocular irritancy responses to various pHs of acids and bases with and without irrigation. *Toxicology* 23:281-291.
28. Griffith, J. F.; Nixon, G. A.; Bruce, R. D.; Reer, P. J. and Bannan, E. A. (1980) Dose-response studies with chemical irritants in the albino rabbit eye as a basis for selecting optimum testing conditions for predicting hazard to the human eye. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 55:501-513.
29. Jacobs, G. A. and Martens, M. A. (1989) An objective method for the evaluation of eye irritation in vivo. *Food Chem Toxicol* 27:255-258.
30. Rizzo, A. A. (1967) Rabbit corneal irrigation as a model system for studies on the relative toxicity of bacterial products implicated in periodontal disease. The toxicity of neutralized ammonia solutions. *J. Periodont.* 38:491-499.
31. Robinson, M. K.; McFadden, J. P. and Basketter, D. A. (2001) Validity and ethics of the human 4-h patch test as an alternative method to assess acute skin irritation potential. *Contact Dermatitis* 45:1-12.

32. Hara, N.; Sakata, K.; Nagai, M.; Fujita, Y.; Hashimoto, T. and Yanagawa, H. (1985) Statistical analyses on the pattern of food consumption and digestive-tract cancers in Japan. *Nutrition and Cancer* 6:220-228.
33. Jarvinen, V. K.; Rytomaa, I. and Heinonen, O. P. (1991) Risk factors in dental erosion. *J Dent Res* 70:942-947.
34. Gwynn, R. H. and Salaman, M. H. (1953) Studies on co-carcinogenesis. SH-reactors and other substances tested for co-carcinogenic action in mouse skin. *British Journal of Cancer* 7:482-489.
35. Pound, A. W. and Withers, H. R. (1963) The influence of some irritant chemicals and scarification on tumour initiation by urethane in mice. *British Journal of Cancer* 17:460-470.
36. Pound, A. W. (1966) Further observations concerning the influence of preliminary stimulation by croton oil and acetic acid on the initiation of skin tumours in mice by urethane. *Br J Cancer* 20:385-398.
37. Hunter, E. S. 3.; Rogers, E. H.; Schmid, J. E. and Richard, A. (1996) Comparative effects of haloacetic acids in whole embryo culture. *Teratology* 54:57-64.
38. Kavlock, R. J.; Short, R. D., Jr. and Chernoff, N. (1987) Further evaluation of an in vivo teratology screen. *Teratog Carcinog Mutagen* 7:7-16.
39. Ishidate, M., Jr.; Sofuni, T.; Yoshikawa, K.; Hayashi, M.; Nohmi, T.; Sawada, M. and Matsuoka, A. (1984) Primary mutagenicity screening of food additives currently used in Japan. *Food Chem Toxicol* 22:623-636.
40. Cotruvo, J. A.; Simmon, V. F. and Spangord, R. J. (1978) Investigation of mutagenic effects of products of ozonation reactions in water. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 298:124-140.
41. McCann, J.; Choi, E.; Yamasaki, E. and Ames, B. N. (1975) Detection of carcinogens as mutagens in the Salmonella/microsome test: assay of 300 chemicals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 72:5135-5139.
42. McMahon, R. E.; Cline, J. C. and Thompson, C. Z. (1979) Assay of 855 test chemicals in ten tester strains using a new modification of the Ames test for bacterial mutagens. *Cancer Res* 39:682-693.
43. Zeiger, E.; Anderson, B.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T. and Mortelmans, K. (1992) Salmonella mutagenicity tests: V. Results from the testing of 311 chemicals. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 19:2-141.
44. Brusick, D. (1986) Genotoxic effects in cultured mammalian cells produced by low pH treatment conditions and increased ion concentrations. *Environ Mutagen* 8:879-886.
45. Morita, T.; Takeda, K. and Okumura, K. (1990) Evaluation of clastogenicity of formic acid, acetic acid and lactic acid on cultured mammalian cells. *Mutat Res* 240:195-202.
46. Sipi, P.; Jarventaus, H. and Norppa, H. (1992) Sister-chromatid exchanges induced by vinyl esters and respective carboxylic acids in cultured human lymphocytes. *Mutat Res* 279:75-82.
47. Gasiorek, K. and Bauchinger, M. (1981) Chromosome changes in human lymphocytes after separate and combined treatment with divalent salts of lead, cadmium, and zinc. *Environ Mutagen* 3:513-518.

48. Rajan, K. G. and Davies, B. H. (1989) Reversible airways obstruction and interstitial pneumonitis due to acetic acid. *Br J Ind Med* 46:67-68.
49. Kern, D. G. (1991) Outbreak of the reactive airways dysfunction syndrome after a spill of glacial acetic acid. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 144:1058-1064.
50. Parmeggiani, L. and Sassi, C. (1954) On the injuries to health caused by acetic acid in the production of cellulose acetate. *Mdna Lav.* 45:319-323.
51. PM USA (2004) P&T/GC/MS Analysis of Acetic acid. Request 20031943. Scan TH034RFA. Unpublished internal report.
52. PM USA (2004) Pyrolysis GC/MS Analysis of Acetic acid. Request 20031943. Scan PO31943B.D. Unpublished internal report.
53. Carmines, E. L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 1: Cigarette design, testing approach, and review of results. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:77-91.
54. Roemer, E.; Tewes, F. J.; Meisgen, T. J.; Veltel, D. and Carmines, E. L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 3: *In vitro* genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:105-111.
55. Rustemeier, K.; Stabbert, R.; Haussmann, H. J.; Roemer, E. and Carmines E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 2: Chemical composition of mainstream smoke. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:93-104.
56. Vanscheeuwijck, P. M.; Teredesai, A.; Terpstra, P. M.; Verbeek, J.; Kuhl, P.; Gerstenberg, B.; Gebel, S. and Carmines E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 4: Subchronic inhalation toxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:113-131.
57. Gaworski, C. L.; Dozier, M. M.; Heck, J. D.; Gerhart, J. M.; Rajendran, N.; David, R. M.; Brennecke, L. H. and Morrissey, R. (1998) Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: 13 week inhalation exposures in rats. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 10:357-381.
58. Gaworski, C. L.; Heck, J. D.; Bennett, M. B. and Wenk, M. L. (1999) Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: skin painting bioassay of cigarette smoke condensate in SENCAR mice. *Toxicology* 139:1-17.
59. Doull, J.; Frawley, J. P.; George, W. J.; Loomis, T. A.; Squire, R. A. and Taylor, S. L. (1994) A safety assessment of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacturing of cigarettes. Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C.
60. Doull, J.; Frawley, J. P.; George, W. J.; Loomis, T. A.; Squire, R. A. and Taylor, S. L. (1998) A safety assessment of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacturing of cigarettes. Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C.
61. Baker, R. R.; Massey, E. D. and Smith, G. (2004) An overview of the effects of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry and toxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 42S:S53-S83.